

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME ON CHEMICAL SAFETY



Environmental Health Criteria 240

Principles and Methods for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals in Food

Front Matters Preamble, Preface, Table of Content



A joint publication of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization or the World Health Organization.

Environmental Health Criteria 240

PRINCIPLES AND METHODS FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICALS IN FOOD

A joint publication of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization

Published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization and the World Health Organization, and produced within the framework of the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals.



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



The International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), established in 1980, is a joint venture of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the International Labour Organization (ILO) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The overall objectives of the IPCS are to establish the scientific basis for assessment of the risk to human health and the environment from exposure to chemicals, through international peer review processes, as a prerequisite for the promotion of chemical safety, and to provide technical assistance in strengthening national capacities for the sound management of chemicals.

The Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 by UNEP, ILO, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, WHO, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, the United Nations Institute for Training and Research and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (Participating Organizations), following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen cooperation and increase coordination in the field of chemical safety. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote coordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organizations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food.

(Environmental health criteria; 240)

1. Risk assessment. 2. Hazard assessment. 3. Exposure assessment. 4. Dose-response assessment. 5. Chemicals. 6. Food safety. 7. Food additives. 8. Contaminants. 9. Pesticide residues. 10. Veterinary drug residues. I.World Health Organization. II.Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

ISBN 978 92 4 157240 8 ISSN 0250-863X (NLM classification: WA 712)

© World Health Organization 2009

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization can be obtained from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: bookorders@who.int). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806; e-mail: permissions@who.int).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

This document was technically and linguistically edited by Marla Sheffer, Ottawa, Canada.

Printed by Wissenchaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, Germany.

CONTENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA ON PRINCIPLES AND METHODS FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICALS IN FOOD

xxiii
XXV
xliii
1-1
1-1
1-2
1-3
1-3
1-4
1-4
1-6
1-6
1-8
1-10
1-11
1-12
1-15
1-16
1-17
1-17
1 1 1 1 1 1

2.	RISK	ASSES	SSMENT AND ITS ROLE IN RISK	
	ANA	LYSIS		2-1
	2.1	Introdu	action	2-1
	2.1		tions of hazard and risk	2-1
	2.2		f risk assessment in risk analysis for food	2-3
	2.5	chemic	•	2-4
	2.4		ur steps of risk assessment for food chemicals	2-4
	2.7	2.4.1		2-6
			Hazard characterization	2-7
			Exposure assessment	2-7
			Risk characterization	2-8
	2.5		tions between risk assessment and risk	
		manag		2-9
		2.5.1	Problem formulation	2-10
		2.5.2	Priority setting for JECFA and JMPR	2-11
		2.5.3	Periodic reviews and specific re-evaluations	2-12
	2.6	Refere	nces	2-14
~	CUE	NUCLI		
3.			CHARACTERIZATION, ANALYTICAL	
			AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF	2 1
	SPE	CIFICAT	IONS	3-1
	3.1	Introdu	iction	3-1
	3.2		a for the review of analytical methods and	5 1
	0.2		ed technical competence of testing	
		laborat	÷ •	3-2
	3.3	The sig	gnificance of multilaboratory method trials	
			llaborative studies	3-4
	3.4	Food a	dditive specifications	3-5
		3.4.1	General considerations	3-5
		3.4.2	Formulation of specifications and	
			information requirements	3-8
		3.4.3	Stability and fate of additives in food	3-9
		3.4.4	Analytical methods	3-10
	3.5	Pestici	de characterization	3-11
		3.5.1	General considerations	3-11
		3.5.2	Identity and purity	3-14
		3.5.3	Stability	3-14
		3.5.4	Physical and chemical properties	3-15

		3.5.5	Analytic	al methods	3-16	
	3.6	Veterin	ary drug r	esidues	3-19	
		3.6.1	General	considerations	3-19	
		3.6.2	Analytic	al methods	3-21	
	3.7	Contan	ninants		3-22	
		3.7.1	General	considerations	3-22	
		3.7.2	Analytic	al methods	3-23	
	3.8	Substar	nces consu	med in large amounts	3-23	
	3.9	Referer	nces		3-25	
4	1147/	וחז חת	ENTIFIC	ATION AND		
4.				N: TOXICOLOGICAL AND		
		AN STU		N. TOXICOLOGICAL AND	4-1	
	now	ANSIC	DIES		4-1	
	4.1	Introdu	ction		4-5	
		4.1.1	Nature o	f substances to be evaluated	4-5	
		4.1.2		ge requirements for substances to		
				and evaluated	4-6	
		4.1.3	Role of s	structure-activity relationships and	4-7	
			metabolic fate			
		4.1.4		ng data on dietary exposure	4-8	
		4.1.5		approach to toxicity testing	4-8	
			4.1.5.1	Role of in silico and in vitro		
				studies	4-9	
			4.1.5.2	0 1 0	4-11	
			4.1.5.3	Absorption, distribution,		
				metabolism and excretion		
				(ADME)	4-11	
			4.1.5.4	Considerations in the selection of		
				appropriate in vivo studies and		
				relevant species (models)	4-12	
			4.1.5.5	Types of animal studies and their		
				role in safety assessment	4-13	
			4.1.5.6	Role of human studies	4-17	
	4.2			ibution, metabolism and excretion	4.10	
				es of toxicological concern)	4-18	
		4.2.1	Introduct		4-18	
		4.2.2	Absorpti		4-20	
		4.2.3	Distribut		4-23	
		4.2.4	Metaboli	sm	4-26	

	4.2.5	Excretion	1	4-28
	4.2.6	Overall e	limination from the body	4-29
	4.2.7	The role	of toxicokinetic studies in the	
		design of	f animal toxicity tests	4-30
	4.2.8	The role	of toxicokinetic studies in the	
		interpret	ation of data from animal toxicity	
		studies		4-31
	4.2.9	Route-to	-route extrapolation	4-37
4.3	Genera	al systemic	toxicity	4-38
	4.3.1	Introduct	tion	4-38
	4.3.2	Tests for	general systemic toxicity	4-39
	4.3.3	Testing s	trategies	4-40
	4.3.4	Study de	sign and data interpretation	4-40
		4.3.4.1	Good Laboratory Practice	4-40
		4.3.4.2	Test substance	4-40
		4.3.4.3	Species, number and sex	4-41
		4.3.4.4	Dose selection	4-42
		4.3.4.5	Administration of the test	
			substance	4-42
	4.3.5	Observat	ions and measurements	4-43
		4.3.5.1	Mortality	4-44
		4.3.5.2	Observations of test animals	4-44
		4.3.5.3	Body weight and feed intake data	4-44
		4.3.5.4	Ophthalmology	4-44
		4.3.5.5	Haematology	4-45
		4.3.5.6	Clinical chemistry	4-45
		4.3.5.7	Urinalyses	4-46
		4.3.5.8	Necropsy	4-47
		4.3.5.9	Organ weight	4-47
		4.3.5.10	Histological examination	4-47
		4.3.5.11	Neurotoxicity and immunotoxicity	4-48
		4.3.5.12	Reversibility	4-48
		4.3.5.13	Other considerations	4-48
4.4	Acute	toxicity		4-49
	4.4.1	Introduct	tion	4-49
	4.4.2	Guidance	e for a single-dose study	4-51
4.5	Genote	oxicity		4-52
	4.5.1	Introduct	tion	4-52
	4.5.2		genetic toxicity	4-53
		4.5.2.1	Test categories	4-53

		4.5.2.2	Commonly used tests	4-54	
	4.5.3	Testing s	trategy	4-54	
	4.5.4	Data ass		4-56	
		4.5.4.1	Cytogenetic assays in vivo and		
			in vitro	4-57	
		4.5.4.2	Germline and somatic cell in vivo		
			cytogenetic assays	4-58	
		4.5.4.3	In vivo gene mutation assays in		
			germline cells	4-58	
	4.5.5	Genetic t	oxicity in relation to carcinogenicity	4-58	
		4.5.5.1	Validation of genetic toxicity		
			tests for the prediction of		
			carcinogenicity	4-58	
		4.5.5.2	Evidence of mode of action	4-60	
	4.5.6	Conclusi	ons	4-62	
4.6		ogenicity		4-62	
	4.6.1	Introduc		4-62	
	4.6.2	Mechanisms of carcinogenicity and mode			
		of action		4-62	
		4.6.2.1	Genotoxic or DNA-reactive		
			mechanisms	4-63	
		4.6.2.2	Non-genotoxic mechanisms	4-63	
	4.6.3	Chronic bioassays for the identification			
			acterization of cancer risk	4-64	
		4.6.3.1	Statistical methods	4-64	
		4.6.3.2	Evaluation	4-65	
		4.6.3.3	Interpretation	4-65	
	4.6.4		ive methods for carcinogenicity		
		testing		4-65	
		4.6.4.1	Initiation/promotion models	4-65	
		4.6.4.2	Neonatal mouse model	4-66	
		4.6.4.3	Transgenic mouse models	4-66	
		4.6.4.4	Interpretation of the data from		
			alternative methods	4-69	
	4.6.5	-	nts in carcinogenicity studies	4-69	
		4.6.5.1	Spontaneous neoplasms	4-69	
		4.6.5.2	Pathological classification of	4 = 0	
		1653	neoplasms	4-70	
		4.6.5.3	Benign and malignant neoplasms	4-70	
		4.6.5.4	Preneoplastic lesions	4-70	

	4.6.6	Characte	erization of carcinogenic effects	4-71
		4.6.6.1	Mechanisms relevant to humans	4-71
		4.6.6.2	Mechanisms not relevant to	
			humans	4-72
	4.6.7		ent of carcinogenic response	4-74
		4.6.7.1	Nature of the test substance	4-74
		4.6.7.2	Relevance of study design	4-74
		4.6.7.3	Are the tumours substance related	? 4-75
		4.6.7.4	Can a mode of action for the	
			tumour response be established?	4-75
		4.6.7.5	Is the mode of action relevant to	
			humans?	4-76
		4.6.7.6	Historical control data	4-76
4.7	Reproc	ductive and	d developmental toxicity	4-78
	4.7.1	Introduc	tion	4-78
	4.7.2	End-poir	nts of concern	4-79
	4.7.3	Study de	esign	4-81
		4.7.3.1	Overview	4-81
		4.7.3.2	Reproductive toxicity	4-82
		4.7.3.3	Developmental toxicity	4-84
		4.7.3.4	Tiered and combined approaches	
			to reproductive and developmenta	1
			toxicity testing	4-86
		4.7.3.5	Endocrine toxicity	4-86
	4.7.4		becific to category of chemical	4-88
	4.7.5	Interpret	ation of data	4-88
	4.7.6	Other co	nsiderations	4-91
		4.7.6.1	In vitro tests	4-91
		4.7.6.2	Paternally mediated effects	4-91
	4.7.7	Informat	tion gaps	4-92
4.8	Neurot	toxicity		4-92
	4.8.1	Introduc	tion	4-92
	4.8.2	Nervous	system features	4-93
	4.8.3	Evaluati	on of neurotoxicity	4-93
		4.8.3.1	Morphological evaluations	4-94
		4.8.3.2	Neurobehavioural evaluation	4-98
		4.8.3.3	Developmental neurotoxicity	4-98
	4.8.4	Tiered te	esting strategy	4-100
	4.8.5	Cholines	sterase-inhibiting compounds	4-102
	4.8.6	Alternat	ive test methods	4-103

	4.8.7	Interpreta	ation of data	4-104
4.9	Immun	otoxicity		4-105
	4.9.1	Introduct	ion	4-105
	4.9.2	Assessme	ent of immunotoxicity	4-106
		4.9.2.1	Laboratory animal studies	4-106
		4.9.2.2	Human studies	4-114
	4.9.3	Interpreta	ation of data on immunotoxicity	4-116
	4.9.4	Conclusi	ons	4-116
4.10	Food al	lergy and	other food hypersensitivities	4-117
	4.10.1	Introduct	ion	4-117
	4.10.2	Prevalence	ce	4-119
	4.10.3	IgE-medi	ated food allergy	4-119
		4.10.3.1	Sensitization	4-119
		4.10.3.2		4-121
		4.10.3.3	Common characteristics of food	
			allergens	4-122
			Thresholds	4-125
		4.10.3.5	Risk assessment in food allergy	4-126
		4.10.3.6	61 6 7	
			of genetically modified food	4-129
	4.10.4		mediated food allergy	4-132
			Coeliac disease	4-132
	4.10.5		nune-mediated food	
		hypersen		4-134
			Metabolic disorders	4-134
		4.10.5.2		4-135
4.11			s of studies in humans	4-135
	4.11.1			4-135
	4.11.2		earnt from pharmaceutical	
		developm		4-139
	4.11.3		studies in humans	4-141
		4.11.3.1	5	
			studies	4-141
		4.11.3.2	1 8	
		4 1 1 2 2	laboratory studies	4-144
		4.11.3.3	Post-marketing surveillance and	4 1 4 5
	4 1 1 4	0.1	epidemiological studies	4-145
	4.11.4		arces of information about effects	4 1 4 0
		in human		4-148
		4.11.4.1	Poisoning	4-148

			4.11.4.2	Human tissues and other	
				preparations in vitro	4-149
		4.11.5	Ethical, l	egal and regulatory issues	4-149
	4.12			act considerations, including	
		effects	on the gut	flora	4-150
		4.12.1	0	considerations	4-150
			4.12.1.1	Effects of the gut microflora on	
				the chemical	4-151
			4.12.1.2	Effects of the chemical on the gut	
				microflora	4-153
		4.12.2	Decision	tree approach for determining the	
			potential	adverse effects of residues of	
			veterinary	antimicrobial drugs on the	
			human in	testinal microflora	4-154
	4.13	Referen	nces		4-156
5	DOSE	-RESPO	ONSE ASS	SESSMENT AND DERIVATION	
C				UIDANCE VALUES	5-1
	5.1		esponse as		5-2
		5.1.1		cepts of dose-response assessment	5-2
			5.1.1.1	Dose	5-4
			5.1.1.2	Response	5-6
		5.1.2		ponse modelling (DRM)	5-7
				Overview	5-7
			5.1.2.2		5-12
			5.1.2.3	Dose-response models for	
				continuous data	5-12
			5.1.2.4	Dose-response models for	
				quantal data	5-14
			5.1.2.5	Model fitting and estimation of	
				parameters	5-14
		5.1.3		g with covariates	5-17
		5.1.4	-	Illy based dose-response models	5-17
		5.1.5	Uncertair		5-18
		5.1.6		extrapolation	5-18
	5.2	U		sed guidance values	5-19
		5.2.1	Introduct	ion	5-19
		5.2.2	Data		5-22
		5.2.3	Safety/un	certainty factors	5-24

	5.2.4	The NOA	EL approach to deriving health-	
		based gui	idance values	5-28
	5.2.5	Benchma	ark dose approach to deriving	
		health-based guidance values		5-30
	5.2.6	Acceptab	ble daily intakes	5-33
		5.2.6.1	Food additives	5-33
		5.2.6.2	Pesticides	5-35
		5.2.6.3	Veterinary drug residues	5-35
	5.2.7	Tolerable	intakes	5-42
	5.2.8	Group Al	DIs/TIs	5-43
	5.2.9	Setting o	f acute reference doses (ARfDs)	5-44
		5.2.9.1	General considerations	5-44
		5.2.9.2	Practical cut-off value for ARfDs	5-45
		5.2.9.3	Biological and toxicological	
			considerations	5-47
		5.2.9.4	Stepwise process for setting	
			ARfDs	5-48
		5.2.9.5	Toxicological end-points relevant	
			for ARfD derivation	5-49
		5.2.9.6	Uncertainty factors for ARfDs	5-51
		5.2.9.7	Different ARfDs for population	
			subgroups	5-54
		5.2.9.8	Use of human data in setting	
			ARfDs	5-54
		5.2.9.9	Intake considerations in relation	
			to ARfDs	5-55
		5.2.9.10	Specific guidance on the	
			derivation of ARfDs	5-55
5.3	Referen	nces		5-55
-				
			ASSESSMENT OF	
CHE	MICALS	S IN FOOI	D	6-1
6.1	Introdu	ction		6-2
0.1	6.1.1		considerations	6-3
	6.1.2		exposure assessment methods	6-5
	6.1.3		ion of results of dietary exposure	0.0
	0.1.5	assessme		6-6
6.2	Data sc			6-6
J. _	6.2.1		concentrations of chemicals in	00
	5.2.1		luding water	6-7
		recent more meter		0 /

6.

		6.2.1.1	Use of maximum levels (MLs) or	
			maximum residue limits (MRLs) in	
			dietary exposure assessments	
			(preregulation)	6-7
		6.2.1.2	Use of other concentration data	
			sources for dietary exposure	
			assessments (preregulation and	
			post-regulation)	6-9
		6.2.1.3	Approaches for obtaining food	
			chemical concentration data	6-10
		6.2.1.4	Sampling	6-14
		6.2.1.5	Analysis	6-18
		6.2.1.6	Deriving concentration data for use	
			in estimating dietary exposures	6-21
		6.2.1.7	Uncertainty in food chemical	
			concentration data	6-22
		6.2.1.8	Available food composition	
			databases	6-27
	6.2.2	Food cons	sumption data	6-29
		6.2.2.1	Food consumption data	
			requirements	6-29
		6.2.2.2	Approaches for food consumption	
			data collection	6-30
		6.2.2.3	Data reporting and use	6-34
		6.2.2.4	Usual food consumption patterns	6-38
		6.2.2.5	Food consumption databases	6-39
6.3	Estimat	ing dietary	exposure	6-41
	6.3.1	Introducti	on	6-41
	6.3.2	Considera	ations when undertaking an	
		exposure	assessment	6-42
	6.3.3	Stepwise	approach to exposure assessment	6-43
	6.3.4	Determin	istic/point estimates of dietary	
		exposure		6-45
		6.3.4.1	Screening methods	6-45
		6.3.4.2	More refined deterministic/point	
			estimates	6-55
		6.3.4.3	Further examples of point	
			estimates using model diets	6-58
		6.3.4.4	Specialized studies designed to	
			answer specific questions	6-60

		6.3.5	Refined	dietary exposure assessments	
				listic distributional analyses)	6-61
			6.3.5.1	Overview of probabilistic	
				estimates of exposure	6-62
			6.3.5.2	Probabilistic models	6-64
			6.3.5.3	Applicability of a probabilistic	
				approach at the international level	6-66
		6.3.6	Specific	considerations for modelling	
			approach	nes for acute and chronic dietary	
			exposure	e assessments	6-67
			6.3.6.1	Chronic dietary exposure	
				assessments	6-67
			6.3.6.2	Acute dietary exposure	
				assessments	6-68
		6.3.7		te/cumulative exposures	6-71
		6.3.8		ters of exposure	6-73
	6.4	Referen			6-77
				etary exposure estimates	
	curre	ntly used	l by JMPR	2	6-92
7.	RISK	CHAR	ACTERIZ	ATION	7-1
	7.1	Introdu	ction		7-1
	7.2			d levels of exposure	7-3
	,	7.2.1		considerations	7-3
		7.2.2		nty and variability analysis	7-5
		7.2.3		ty analysis	7-7
	7.3			sure to multiple substances	7-8
		7.3.1		considerations	7-8
		7.3.2		uivalency factor (TEF) approach	7-11
		7.3.3		e approach	7-12
	7.4	The for	mulation	of advice on compounds that are	
				nd carcinogenic	7-13
	7.5	Subpop	ulations a	ıt risk	7-16
	7.6	Referen	nces		7-18
8.	МАХ		RESIDUE	E LIMITS FOR PESTICIDES	
0.			LINARY E		8-1
	7 31 YL	, ALILIN			
	8.1	Introdu			8-2
	8.2			ent principles and practice of	
		JMPR a	and JECF	A for residue evaluation	8-3

	8.2.1	JMPR as	sessment processes for pesticide		
		residues		8-3	
	8.2.2	JECFA a	ssessment processes for residues		
			hary drugs	8-7	
	8.2.3		son of JMPR and JECFA		
		approach		8-14	
8.3	Identification and description of residues and				
	method		F	8-16	
	8.3.1		definition, chemical identity and	0 10	
			hemical properties	8-16	
		8.3.1.1	Marker residue	8-19	
		8.3.1.2	Definition of residues for dietary	0 17	
		0.5.1.2	intake	8-21	
	8.3.2	Pharmac	okinetic, toxicokinetic and	0 21	
	0.2.2		c data used to determine the		
		residue d		8-23	
		8.3.2.1	Pharmacokinetics, toxicokinetics	0 20	
		0.5.2.1	and metabolism	8-23	
		8.3.2.2	Purpose of livestock metabolism	0 25	
		0.5.2.2	studies for veterinary drug and		
			pesticide evaluation	8-27	
		8.3.2.3	Purpose of plant metabolism	0 27	
		0.5.2.5	studies	8-29	
	8.3.3	Analytics	al methods and residue stability in	02)	
	0.5.5		alytical samples	8-31	
		8.3.3.1	Method performance requirements	8-31	
		8.3.3.2	Analyte stability	8-33	
		8.3.3.3	Fate of residues during	0-55	
		0.5.5.5	commercial food processing	8-33	
	8.3.4	Field stu	dy data used to identify the MRL:	0 55	
	0.5.4		feeding studies and animal		
		treatmen	•	8-36	
8.4	Criteria		ing data, species and commodities	8-39	
0.7	8.4.1		bility of definitions for species,	0-57	
	0.4.1		nd commodities of foods of animal		
		origin	ia commodities of foods of animal	8-39	
		8.4.1.1	Meat and muscle	8-40	
		8.4.1.2	Milk	8-40	
		8.4.1.2	Eggs	8-40	
		8.4.1.3 8.4.1.4		8-40 8-41	
		0.4.1.4	Aquatic species	0-41	

			8.4.1.5	Edible offal	8-41
		8.4.2	Data eva	luation based on the application	
			of GLP,	GAP and GPVD	8-41
			8.4.2.1	JMPR	8-42
			8.4.2.2	JECFA	8-43
		8.4.3	Direct ex	xternal animal treatment—dossier	
			submissi	ons to JMPR and JECFA	8-43
	8.5	Extrap	olation iss	ues	8-44
		8.5.1	Proposal	for expanding the scope of MRLs	8-44
			8.5.1.1	Pesticide residues	8-44
			8.5.1.2		8-45
			8.5.1.3	Possible extension of MRLs to	
				other animal species	8-47
			8.5.1.4	Honey	8-48
		8.5.2		hic extrapolation	8-48
			8.5.2.1		8-48
			8.5.2.2	Veterinary drug residues	8-49
	8.6	Refere	nces		8-49
9.		PRINCIPLES RELATED TO SPECIFIC GROUPS OF SUBSTANCES			
	SOR	SIANC	ES		9-1
	9.1	9.1 Special considerations for substances consumed			
		-	mounts		9-2
		9.1.1	Threshol	ld of toxicological concern (TTC)	9-2
	9.1.2 Flavouring agents				9-8
			9.1.2.1	The JECFA procedure for safety	
				evaluation	9-8
			9.1.2.2	Consideration of dietary	
				exposure estimates	9-12
		9.1.3	Food cor	ntact materials/packaging migrants	9-15
		9.1.4	Processi	ng aids	9-16
			9.1.4.1	Solvents	9-16
			9.1.4.2	Enzymes	9-18
			9.1.4.3	Immobilizing agents	9-20
	9.2 Special considerations for nutrients and substat				
		consur	ned in larg	ge amounts	9-21
		9.2.1	Introduc	tion	9-21
			9.2.1.1	Chemical composition,	
				specifications and impurities	9-22

		9.2.1.2	Nutritional studies	9-23
		9.2.1.3	Toxicity studies	9-24
	9.2.2	Nutrients	and related substances	9-26
		9.2.2.1	Adverse health effects of nutrients	
			and related substances-general	
			concepts	9-30
		9.2.2.2	Deriving the UL	9-34
	9.2.3	Foods fro	m novel sources	9-39
		9.2.3.1	Chemical composition	9-40
		9.2.3.2	Nutritional considerations	9-42
		9.2.3.3	Toxicological evaluations	9-42
		9.2.3.4		9-43
		9.2.3.5	•	9-43
		9.2.3.6	1	9-44
		9.2.3.7	Risk characterization	9-45
9.3	Referen	ices		9-45
Annex 1:	Glossar	y of terms		A-1
Annex 2:	Dose conversion table		A-43	
Résumé				R-1
Resumen				R-35
Index				I-1

NOTE TO READERS OF THIS CRITERIA MONOGRAPH

The individual chapters of this monograph can largely stand alone; hence, a table of contents and reference list are included in each chapter, and some duplication may occur in the overall text. This publication will also be made available electronically, and individual chapters will be independently updated when the need arises.

Every effort has been made to present the information in this criteria monograph as accurately as possible without unduly delaying its publication. In the interest of all users of this Environmental Health Criteria monograph, readers are requested to communicate any errors that may have occurred to the Director of the Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, in order that they may be included in corrigenda.

Environmental Health Criteria

PREAMBLE

Objectives

In 1973, the WHO Environmental Health Criteria Programme was initiated with the following objectives:

- to assess information on the relationship between exposure to environmental pollutants and human health, and to provide guidelines for setting exposure limits;
- (ii) to identify new or potential pollutants;
- (iii) to identify gaps in knowledge concerning the health effects of pollutants;
- (iv) to promote the harmonization of toxicological and epidemiological methods in order to have internationally comparable results.

The first Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) monograph, on mercury, was published in 1976, and since that time an ever-increasing number of assessments of chemicals and of physical effects have been produced. In addition, many EHC monographs have been devoted to evaluating toxicological methodology, e.g. for genetic, neurotoxic, teratogenic, and nephrotoxic effects. Other publications have been concerned with epidemiological guidelines, evaluation of short-term tests for carcinogens, biomarkers, effects on the elderly, and so forth.

Since its inauguration, the EHC Programme has widened its scope, and the importance of environmental effects, in addition to health effects, has been increasingly emphasized in the total evaluation of chemicals.

The original impetus for the Programme came from World Health Assembly resolutions and the recommendations of the 1972 UN Conference on the Human Environment. Subsequently, the work became an integral part of the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), a cooperative programme of WHO, ILO, and UNEP. In this manner, with the strong support of the new partners, the importance of occupational health and environmental effects was fully recognized. The EHC monographs have become widely established, used, and recognized throughout the world.

The recommendations of the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development and the subsequent establishment of the Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical Safety with the priorities for action in the six programme areas of Chapter 19, Agenda 21, all lend further weight to the need for EHC assessments of the risks of chemicals.

Scope

Two different types of EHC documents are available: 1) on specific chemicals or groups of related chemicals; and 2) on risk assessment methodologies. The criteria monographs are intended to provide critical reviews on the effect on human health and the environment of chemicals and of combinations of chemicals and physical and biological agents and risk assessment methodologies. As such, they include and review studies that are of direct relevance for evaluations. However, they do not describe every study carried out. Worldwide data are used and are quoted from original studies, not from abstracts or reviews. Both published and unpublished reports are considered, and it is incumbent on the authors to assess all the articles cited in the references. Preference is always given to published data. Unpublished data are used only when relevant published data are absent or when they are pivotal to the risk assessment. A detailed policy statement is available that describes the procedures used for unpublished proprietary data so that this information can be used in the evaluation without compromising its confidential nature (WHO (1990) Revised Guidelines for the Preparation of Environmental Health Criteria Monographs. PCS/90.69, Geneva, World Health Organization).

In the evaluation of human health risks, sound human data, whenever available, are preferred to animal data. Animal and in vitro studies provide support and are used mainly to supply evidence missing from human studies. It is mandatory that research on human subjects is conducted in full accord with ethical principles, including the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki. The EHC monographs are intended to assist national and international authorities in making risk assessments and subsequent risk management decisions. They represent a thorough evaluation of risks and are not, in any sense, recommendations for regulation or standard setting. These latter are the exclusive purview of national and regional governments.

Procedures

The procedures described below were followed in the development and publication of this EHC. A designated WHO Staff Member, Dr Sam Page and subsequently Dr A. Tritscher, served as the Responsible Officer (RO) at WHO. At the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the ROs were Dr M. Lützow and subsequently Dr A. Wennberg. These ROs are responsible for the scientific content of the document. The editor was responsible for layout and language. A public web site was created to inform progress on the project.

FAO and WHO held a planning meeting of international experts with experience in the risk assessment activities of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) on 26–28 November 2001 at WHO Headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland, to define the scope of the project and develop a project plan. A steering group was then formed, which accompanied and guided the project until its completion.

A series of workshops were held to develop the basis for the key chapters. In addition, drafters were commissioned for certain subchapters, and these drafts were subsequently peer reviewed by the steering group and/or by invited experts. Once all chapters had been drafted, four experts familiar with the project as well as with the methods and procedures applied by JECFA and JMPR were commissioned for an overall review. Subsequently, two experts were commissioned to compile and write the first draft of the monograph based on existing chapters and taking into account comments from reviewers and the steering group. This draft monograph was then made available on the IPCS web site for external review and comment. Comments received are available on request from the WHO Secretariat. They were reviewed by an expert meeting held on 11–14 November 2008 in Seoul, Republic of

Korea, and necessary additions and revisions to the document were made.

All experts who contributed to this monograph served as individual scientists, not as representatives of any organization, government or industry. Every attempt was made to ensure that all individuals who, as authors, consultants or advisers, participated in the preparation of this EHC monograph informed the WHO Secretariat if at any time a conflict of interest, whether actual or potential, could be perceived in their work.

TASK GROUP ON ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA ON PRINCIPLES AND METHODS FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICALS IN FOOD

Dr S. Page, IPCS, and Dr A. Tritscher, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, served as the Responsible Officers (ROs) for WHO, and Dr M. Lützow and Dr A. Wennberg served as the ROs for FAO. The ROs were responsible for the preparation of the final document and for its overall scientific content. Marla Sheffer, Ottawa, Canada, was the IPCS editor responsible for layout and language.

* * *

Risk assessment activities of IPCS are supported financially by the Department of Health, Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs and Food Standards Agency, United Kingdom; Environmental Protection Agency, Food and Drug Administration and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, United States of America (USA); European Commission; German Federal Ministry of Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety; Health Canada; Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; and Swiss Agency for Environment, Forests and Landscape. Specific support for this project was received from the United Kingdom Food Standards Agency, the United States Food and Drug Administration, the Republic of Korea Food and Drug Administration and the Netherlands National Institute for Public Health and the Environment.

* * *

Steering group members

Dr D. Arnold, Federal Institute for Health Protection of Consumers & Veterinary Medicine, Berlin, Germany

Professor J. Bend, Department of Pathology, Siebens-Drake Medical Research Institute, Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Dr M. Bolger, Food and Drug Administration, United States Department of Health and Human Services, College Park, Maryland, USA Dr E. Boutrif, Food Quality and Standards Service, Food and Nutrition Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

Mr D. Hamilton, Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane, Australia

Dr J.-L. Jouve, Chief, Food Quality and Standards Service, Food and Nutrition Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy (*retired*)

Dr M. Lützow, Consultant, Neuenhof, Switzerland

Dr T. Meredith, International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Dr B. Petersen, Exponent, Inc., Washington, DC, USA

Dr G. Vaagt, Pesticide Management Group, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

Professor R. Walker, School of Biological Sciences, Guildford, Surrey, England

Dr Y. Yamada, National Food Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan

Secretariat

Dr M. Choi, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Dr S. Page, International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Dr A. Tritscher, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Dr A. Wennberg, Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

Other contributors

The contributors to this monograph are listed below. Those who submitted written comments in response to a request for public comments are also acknowledged below. Invited reviewers of the draft chapters were Dr S. Barlow, Dr A. Boobis, Dr J. Herrman and Dr J. Weatherwax.

Dr S. Barlow and Professor A. Renwick compiled and prepared the first draft of the monograph based on the draft chapters and taking into account comments from reviewers and the steering group.

Legend

1	Participant in: Workshop I, London, 9–13 December 2002: Joint FAO/WHO Project to Update Principles and Methods for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals in Food (<i>basis for chapter 4</i>)		
2	Participant in: Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation: Dietary Exposure Assessment of Chemicals in Food, Annapolis, Maryland, USA, 2–6 May 2005 (<i>basis for chapter 6</i>)		
3	Participant in: Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation: MRLs for Pesticides and Veterinary Drugs, Bilthoven, the Netherlands, 7–10 November 2005 (<i>basis for chapter 9</i>)		
4	Participant in: Workshop on Principles for Modelling Dose–Response for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals, Geneva, 13–17 September 2004 (<i>basis for chapter 5</i>)		
5	5 Participant in: Final Expert Meeting, 11–14 November 2008, Seoul, Republic of Korea		
6	Drafter of section or chapter (chapter indicated in par	rentheses)	
Dr A. Ambrus, Hungarian Food Safety Office, Budapest, 2, 3 Hungary			
P	ssor A. Anadón, Department of Toxicology and harmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, niversidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain	1	
F	Arnold, Consultant, Berlin, Germany (formerly ederal Institute for Health Protection of Consumers & eterinary Medicine, Berlin, Germany) (<i>3: Chair</i>)	1, 3, 5, 6 (chapter 3)	
N	A. Assimon, Center for Food Safety and Applied lutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, ID, USA	6 (section 4.10)	
S	Baines, Evaluation and Surveillance, Food tandards Australia New Zealand, Canberra, ustralia (<i>2: Rapporteur</i>)	2, 5	
Dr S.	Barlow, Consultant, Brighton, England (5: Chair)	5, 6 (section 4.7)	
Dr L.	Barraj, Exponent, Inc., Washington, DC, USA	2	

Dr D. Bellinger, Harvard Medical School Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA	1
Dr D. Benford, Food Standards Agency, London, England	1, 5
Dr M. Bolger, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD, USA (<i>4: Rapporteur</i>)	1, 2, 4
Professor A. Boobis, Experimental Medicine & Toxicology Division of Investigative Science, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London, England (<i>1,4: Chair</i>) (<i>5: Rapporteur</i>)	1, 4, 5, 6 (sections 4.1, 4.6)
Dr A. Bruno, FAO Codex Secretariat, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy	3
Professor E. Calabrese, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA	4
Dr E. Caldas, University of Brasilia, College of Health Sciences, Pharmaceutical Sciences Department, Campus Universitàrio Darci Ribeiro, Brasilia, Brazil	2, 3
Dr C. Carrington, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD, USA	2, 4, 5, 6 (chapter 5)
Dr C. Cerniglia, Division of Microbiology, National Center for Toxicological Research, Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, AR, USA	1, 6 (section 4.12)
Mrs R. Charrondiere, Nutrition Planning, Assessment and Evaluation Service, Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy	2
Professor B. Chen, School of Public Health, Shanghai Medical University, Shanghai, China	1
Dr MH. Cho, National Institute of Toxicological Research, Korean Food and Drug Administration, Seoul, Republic of Korea	5
Dr M. Choi, Korean Food and Drug Administration, Seoul, Republic of Korea (formerly Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland)	5
Dr V. Cogliano, Carcinogen Identification and Evaluation, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, Lyon, France	4
Dr J. Cohen, Harvard Center for Risk Analysis, Boston, MA, USA	2

Dr R. Crebelli, Unit of Genetic Toxicology, Department of Environment and Primary Prevention, Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Rome, Italy	6 (section 4.5)
Professor A. Dayan, Consultant, London, England	1, 6 (section 4.11)
Dr D. Deuk Jang, National Institute of Toxicological Research, Korean Food and Drug Administration, Seoul, Republic of Korea	5
Dr M. DiNovi, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD, USA	2
Professor E. Dybing, Division of Environmental Medicine, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway (retired) (<i>4: Rapporteur</i>)	4
Dr L. Edler, Biostatistics Unit, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany	4
Ms S.K. Egan, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD, USA (2: <i>Rapporteur</i>)	2
Dr R. Ellis, Consultant, South Carolina, USA (formerly Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD, USA) (<i>3: Rapporteur</i>)	3
Professor P. Farmer, Department of Biochemistry and Department of Cancer Studies and Molecular Medicine, University of Leicester, Leicester, England	6 (section 4.5)
Professor E. Faustman, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA	4
Dr C. Fisher, Consultant, Cambridge, England	1, 6 (chapter 3)
Dr S. Funk, Health Effects Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA	2, 3
Dr G. Gallhoff, Health and Consumer Protection Directorate-General, European Commission, Brussels, Belgium	3
Dr W. Gelderblom, Programme on Mycotoxins and Experimental Carcinogenesis (PROMEC), Tygerberg, South Africa	1
Dr D. Germolec, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	6 (section 4.9)
Dr K. Greenlees, Toxicology Team, Office of New Animal Drug Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD, USA	3

Mr D. Hamilton, Consultant, Brisbane, Australia (formerly Biosecurity, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia) (<i>3: Rapporteur</i>)	1, 3, 5, 6 (chapters 1, 8)
Dr C.A. Harris, Exponent International Ltd, Harrogate, England	3
Dr G.J. Harry, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	1, 6 (section 4.8)
Dr M. Healy, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, Canberra, Australia	4
Dr J. Herrman, Consultant, Placerville, CA, USA	1, 6 (chapter 1)
Dr J. Hlywka, Cantox Health Sciences International, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada	1, 6 (sections 4.10, 4.11)
Mr J. Howlett, Consultant, Surrey, England	4
Dr T. Inoue, Biological Safety Research Centre, National Institute of Health Sciences, Tokyo, Japan	1
Dr SH. Jeong, Toxicology Division, National Veterinary Research and Quarantine Service, Ministry of Agriculture & Forestry, Anyang City, Republic of Korea	5
Dr JL. Jouve, Food Quality and Standards Service, Food and Nutrition Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy (retired)	1
Dr M. Kaethner, Bayer CropScience AG, Monheim, Germany	3
Dr R. Kavlock, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	1, 6 (section 4.7)
Dr J. Kleiner, European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy	4
Dr A. Knaap, Center for Substances and Integrated Risk Assessment, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands (retired)	4
Dr P. Kuznesof, Silver Spring, MD, USA	6 (chapter 3)
Dr J. Larsen, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Soborg, Denmark	4
Dr JC. Leblanc, Department for the Evaluation of Nutritional and Health Risks, French Food Safety Agency (AFSSA), Maisons Alfort, France	2
Dr C. Leclercq, Research Group on Food Safety – Exposure Analysis, National Institute for Food and Nutrition Research (INRAN), Rome, Italy	2

Dr H.M. Lee, Risk Assessment Research Team, National Institute of Toxicological Research, Korea Food and Drug Administration, Seoul, Republic of Korea	5
Professor P. Lees, The Royal Veterinary College, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield, England	3
Dr D. Lovell, Postgraduate Medical School, University of Surrey, Surrey, England	4
Dr R. Luebke, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	6 (section 4.9)
Dr M. Luster, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, WV, USA	6 (section 4.9)
Dr M. Lützow, Consultant, Neuenhof, Switzerland	1, 3, 5
Dr D. MacLachlan, Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service, Australian Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, Kingston, Australia	3
Dr J.D. MacNeil, Center for Veterinary Drug Residues, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada	3, 6 (chapter 3)
Dr C. Madsen, Institute of Food Safety and Toxicology, Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, Copenhagen, Denmark	6 (section 4.10)
Dr A. Mattia, Division of Biotechnology and GRAS Notice Review, Office of Food Additive Safety, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD, USA	1, 6 (section 4.3)
Dr D. McGregor, Consultant, Aberdour, Scotland	1, 6 (section 4.5)
Dr T. Meredith, International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland	1
Mr D.J. Miller, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA	2
Professor E. Mitema, Department of Public Health, Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, University of Nairobi, Kenya	1
Dr A. Moretto, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, University of Milan, International Centre for Pesticides and Health Risk Prevention (ICPS), Milano, Italy	1
Dr G. Moy, Consultant, Geneva, Switzerland (previously Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland)	1, 2

Dr I. Munro, Cantox Health Sciences International, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada (1: Rapporteur)	1, 6 (sections 4.1, 9.1)
Dr J.L. Nappier, Pfizer Animal Health, Kalamazoo, MI, USA	3
Dr B.C. Ossendorp, Centre for Substances and Integrated Risk Assessment, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands (<i>3: Co-Chair</i>)	2, 3
Dr S. Page, Consultant, New Castle, USA (formerly International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland)	1, 2, 3, 4, 6 (chapters 1, 2)
Dr B. Petersen, Exponent, Inc., Washington, DC, USA (2: Chair)	1, 2
Professor R. Pieters, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands	6 (section 4.10)
Dr C. Portier, National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	4
Professor A. Renwick, Consultant, Ulverston, Cumbria, England	1, 4, 5, 6 (section 4.2, chapters 5, 7)
Professor F. Reyes, Department of Food Science, State University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil	1
Ms A. Richter, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Berlin, Germany	3
Dr R. Roberts, AstraZeneca R&D, Safety Assessment, Alderly Park, England	6 (section 4.6)
Dr J.C. Rühl, DuPont Crop Protection, Newark, NJ, USA	2
Professor T. Sanner, Laboratory for Environmental and Occupational Cancer, Institute for Cancer Research, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo, Norway	4
Dr J. Schefferlie, Centre for Substances and Integrated Risk Assessment, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands	3
Dr S. Scheid, Federal Office of Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Berlin, Germany	3
Dr J. Schlatter, Consumer Protection Directorate, Food Safety Division, Nutritional and Toxicological Risks Section, Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Zürich, Switzerland	1, 4
Dr R. Setzer, Jr, Experimental Toxicology Division, Pharmacokinetics Branch, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA	4

Professor W. Slob, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands	4
Dr R. Solecki, Safety of Substances and Preparations, Coordination and Overall Assessment, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Berlin, Germany	4, 6 (sections 4.4, 5.2.8)
Dr A.F. Suárez, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Centro de Agroalimentos, Buenos Aires, Argentina	3
Dr S.F. Sundlof, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (formerly Center for Veterinary Medicine), Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD, USA	3
Dr C. Taylor, Institute of Medicine, The National Academies, Washington, DC, USA	6 (section 9.2)
Dr A. Tejada, Pesticide Management Group, Plant Protection Service, Plant Production and Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy (retired)	1, 2
Dr A. Tritscher, Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses (formerly International Programme on Chemical Safety), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland	3, 4, 5, 6 (chapters 1, 2)
Dr W. van Eck, The Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority, The Hague, the Netherlands	6 (chapter 1)
Dr R.W. Vannoort, ESR Christchurch Science Centre, Christchurch, New Zealand	2
Dr P. Verger, National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA), Paris, France	2
Dr J. Vos, Laboratory for Pathology and Immunology, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands	1, 6 (section 4.9)
Dr A. Wadge, Food Standards Agency, London, England	4
Professor R. Walker, Consultant, Guildford, Surrey, England (formerly School of Biological Sciences, Guildford, Surrey, England)	1, 5, 6 (section 4.12, chapter 5)
Dr A. Wennberg, FAO Joint Secretary, Food Quality and Standards Service, Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy	3, 5, 6 (chapter 3)
Professor C.P. Wild, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, Lyon, France (formerly University of Leeds, Leeds, England)	1

Professor G. Williams, Environmental Pathology and Toxicology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, USA	1, 4, 6 (section 4.6)
Dr Y. Yamada, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Tokyo, Japan	1, 6 (chapter 1)
Professor JH. Yang, Catholic University of Taegu, Department of Pharmacology/Toxicology, School of Medicine, Namgu Taegu, Republic of Korea	5
Dr H.J. Yoon, Korean Food and Drug Administration, Seoul, Republic of Korea (formerly Department of Food Safety, Zoonoses and Foodborne Diseases, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland)	3

The following individuals submitted written comments in response to the request for public comments on the final draft monograph:

- B. Amzal (European Food Safety Authority)
- Dr K.C. Angelova (Bulgaria)
- Dr D. Benford (England)
- A. Bulder (RIKILT Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen, the Netherlands)
- R. Crebelli (European Food Safety Authority)
- S. Fabiansson (European Food Safety Authority)
- Professor P.B. Farmer (England)
- D. Hamilton (Biosecurity Queensland, Australia)
- J. Hopkins (Consultant, England)
- O. Lindtner (Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Germany)
- C. Madsen (Denmark)
- Dr H. Marvin (RIKILT Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen, the Netherlands)
- D. Morris (New Zealand)
- J. Nicholas (Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use)

B. Ossendorp (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, the Netherlands)

Dr R.H. Waring (European Food Safety Authority)

PREFACE

The International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) was initiated in 1980 as a collaborative programme of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the International Labour Organization (ILO), and the World Health Organization (WHO). One of the major objectives of IPCS is to improve scientific methodologies for assessing the effects of chemicals on human health and the environment. As part of this effort, IPCS publishes a series of monographs, called Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) documents, that evaluate the scientific principles underlying methodologies and strategies to assess risks from exposure to chemicals.

This EHC was prepared in response to a recommendation that the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and WHO should consider updating and harmonizing all the common principles used by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) in the toxicological evaluation of food chemicals and publish the information in a single consolidated document. It updates, harmonizes and consolidates principles and methods for the risk assessment of food additives, food contaminants, natural toxicants and residues of pesticides and veterinary drugs.

The efforts of all who helped in the preparation, review, and finalization of the monograph are gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks are due to Health Canada, the Ministry of Health of Japan, the United Kingdom Food Standards Agency and the United States National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences for their financial support of the project.